

RTI Toolkit: A Practical Guide for Schools

Building an RTI/MTSS System for Mental-Health: Guidance for School Teams

# SESSION 1: RTI/MTSS for Mental Health: Master the Model

Jim Wright, Presenter

16 January 2019 Eastern Suffolk BOCES 15 Andrea Road Holbrook, NY

Email: jim@jimwrightonline.com Workshop Downloads at: http://www.interventioncentral.org/MTSS\_behavior

2

## RTI/MTSS for Behavior: District-Wide Planning Tool

**Directions:** Use this planning tool to audit your district or school RTI/MTSS system for behavioral/social-emotional support and select those priority goals that should be addressed immediately. To complete it:

- appoint a recorder.
- review each RTI/MTSS goal and rate on a scale of 0 (low) to 3 (high), the goal's current priority for your district.
- use the Discussion Notes column to record any notes from your discussion.
- when you have completed this planning tool, count up the goals with priority ratings of 2 or higher. Use this subset of priorities as a starting point for generating an RTI/MTSS-behavior plan for your school or district.

Tier 1: School-Wide Behavioral Expectations. The school has defined universal behavioral expectations for all students and staff—and trained the school community in those behaviors.

RT	/MTSS Element	Rating: How Important?	Discussion Notes
	[B.1.1] Develop School-Wide Behavioral	Low Priority   High Priority	
	Expectations. To establish its "behavior	0 <b>23</b>	
	curriculum", the school develops a general set of		
	universal behavioral expectations that apply in any		
	setting.		
	[B.1.2] Translate School-Wide Expectations into	Low Priority   High Priority	
	Site-Specific Rules. The school (1) identifies the	0 <b>23</b>	
	range of different settings in which students are		
	expected to function, (2) determines the adult(s)		
	responsible for managing behavior in each of these		
	settings, and (3) enlists these supervising adults to		
	translate building-wide expectations for behavior		
	into more detailed site-specific rules.		
	[B.1.3] Teach Expected Behaviors to Students.	Low Priority   High Priority	
	The school trains students in expected behaviors—	0 <b>23</b>	
	treating those behaviors as a formal curriculum to		
	be taught.		
	[B.1.4] Reinforce Positive Behaviors. The school	Low Priority   High Priority	
	adopts a building-wide system to consistently	0 <b>23</b>	
	acknowledge and reinforce positive student		
	behaviors. This system includes adoption of tokens		
	to be distributed contingent on positive behavior		
	(e.g., 'good behavior tickets'), a mechanism to		
	redeem earned tokens for individual or group		
	rewards, and linking of awarded tokens to praise		
	for the observed positive behaviors.		



Tier 1: Class-Wide Management. Well-managed classrooms are built on a foundation			
that includes teaching behavioral expectations to students and using proactive strategies			
to manage group behaviors.			
RTI/MTSS Element	Rating: How Important?	Discussion Notes	

RTI	/MTSS Element	Rating: How Important?	Discussion Notes
	[B.1.5] Teach Expected Behaviors. The teacher	Low Priority   High Priority	
	teaches, reviews, monitors, and reinforces	0 <b>23</b>	
	expected classroom behaviors tied to school-wide		
	behavioral expectations (Simonsen et al., 2008).		
	[B.1.6] Post Classroom Rules. The classroom	Low Priority   High Priority	
	has a set of 3-8 rules or behavioral expectations	0 <b>23</b>	
	posted. When possible, those rules are stated in		
	positive terms as 'goal' behaviors (e.g. 'Students		
	participate in learning activities without distracting		
	others from learning). Rules are frequently		
	reviewed (Simonsen, Fairbanks, Briesch, Myers, &		
	Sugai, 2008).		
	[B.1.7] Establish Classroom Routines. The	Low Priority   High Priority	
	teacher has established routines to deal with	0 <b>23</b>	
	common classroom activities such as transitioning		
	between activities (Fairbanks, Sugai, Guardino, &		
	Lathrop, 2007; Marzano, Marzano, & Pickering,		
	2003).		
	[B.1.8] Engage in Active Supervision. The	Low Priority   High Priority	
	teacher moves frequently through the classroom	0 <b>23</b>	
	strategically recognizing positive behaviors while		
	redirecting students who are off-task (De Pry &		
	Sugai, 2002).		
	[B.1.9] Use Positive Communication. The	Low Priority   High Priority	
	teacher consistently uses positive communication	0 <b>23</b>	
	strategiessuch as behavior-specific praise and		
	pre-correction statements (reminders of expected		
	behaviors) to shape student behaviors in the		
	desired direction (Stormont & Reinke, 2009).		
	[B.1.10] Provide Supportive Consequences. The	Low Priority   High Priority	
	teacher accesses a continuum of supportive	0 <b>23</b>	
	strategies (e.g., reminder, redirection; reteaching of		
	behavior, etc.) when responding to inappropriate		
	behaviors. (Leach & Helf, 2016).		
	[B.1.11] Employ Negative Consequences	Low Priority   High Priority	
	Sparingly. The teacher makes limited use of	0 <b>23</b>	
	'contingent' (negative) consequences to reduce		
	inappropriate behavior. The instructor accesses		
	negative consequences only after first (a) trying		
	supportive consequences, and (b) ruling out		
	explanations for the misbehavior that lie beyond		
	the student's control (e.g., skill deficit in the desired		
	replacement behavior). (Conroy & Sutherland,		
	2012).		



Tier 1: Classroom Interventions. Because the teacher is the Tier 1 (classroom)				
RTI/MTSS 'first responder' who can potentially assist any struggling student, schools				
should prepare necessary resources and define clear guidelines for how to implement				
Tier 1 behavioral interventions.	0	•		
RTI/MTSS Element Rating: How Important? Discussion Notes				
[B.1.12] Access Consultant Support. The	Low Priority   High Priority			
teacher can easily access a behavioral consultant	0 <b>23</b>			
to assist in creating a student intervention plan to				
address behavioral/social-emotional concerns.				
□ [B.1.13] Follow a Structured Process. The	Low Priority   High Priority			
teacher follows a consistent RTI/MTSS problem-	0 <b>23</b>			
solving process in creating the intervention plan (Bergan, 1995).				
□ [B.1.14] Choose Evidence-Based Interventions.	Low Priority   High Priority			
Strategies included in the intervention plan are	0 <b>23</b>			
evidence-based i.e., supported by published				
research (Hawken, Vincent & Schumann, 2008).				
□ [B.1.15] Track Student Progress. The teacher	Low Priority   High Priority			
has set a goal for improvement in the intervention	0 <b>23</b>			
plan and selected at least one method of formative data collection (e.g., Behavior Report Card) to				
monitor the student's progress toward the goal				
during the intervention.				
□ [B.1.16] Allocate Sufficient Time. The Low Priority   High Priority				
intervention plan is scheduled to span a minimum	0 <b>23</b>			
length of time (e.g., 4-8 instructional weeks)				
sufficient to allow the teacher to fully judge its				
effectiveness.				
□ [B.1.17] Document the Intervention. The teacher	Low Priority   High Priority			
uses an online Content Management System (e.g., RTIm Direct) or an electronic or paper form to	0 <b>23</b>			
record details of the intervention plan. This				
documentation is completed <i>prior</i> to the start of the				
intervention and archived for later retrieval.				
□ [B.1.18] Ensure Multi-Staff Participation. In	Low Priority   High Priority			
settings with more than one educator (e.g., co-	0 <b>23</b>			
taught classrooms), all adults in that setting				
implement the student's intervention plan				
consistently.				

Tier 2: Strategic Interventions. Tier 2 interventions target students who need behaviorand/or social-emotional support that goes beyond that which can be provided in theclassroom. Tier 2 interventions can take the form of small group programs, mentoringsupport, or individual counseling. Tier 2 interventions are often 'standard-protocol'programs that match common student intervention needs in a school.RTI/MTSS ElementRating: How Important?Discussion Notes

	<b>[B.2.1] Inventory Evidence-Based Services.</b> The school has inventoried its Tier 2 services and verified that all are 'evidence-based' i.e., supported by published research (Hawken, Vincent & Schumann, 2008).	Low Priority High Priority 01 <b>23</b>	
	<ul> <li>This inventory may include:</li> <li>group-delivered interventions (e.g., social-skills training programs);</li> <li>mentoring programs (e.g., Check &amp; Connect);</li> <li>individual counseling (e.g., Solution-Focused Brief Counseling);</li> <li>individualized behavior plans to be implemented across at least 2 instructional</li> </ul>		
	settings.		
	[B.2.2] Use Data for Recruitment. At several	Low Priority   High Priority	
	checkpoints during the instructional year, the school identifies students for Tier 2 services through use of one or more objective data sources (e.g., school-wide behavioral screening tools; attendance records; Office Disciplinary Referrals) with specific cut-points (Grosche & Volpe, 2013; McIntosh, Chard, Bolan, & Horner, 2006).	0 <b>23</b>	
	[B.2.3] Convene Team to Place Students in Tier	Low Priority   High Priority	
	2 Services. The school convenes a team (e.g.,	0 <b>23</b>	
	'Data Analysis Team') that meets periodically (e.g.,		
	every 5 weeks) to review school-wide behavioral,		
	attendance, and social-emotional data, to identify		
	at-risk students, and to place them in appropriate		
_	Tier 2 services (Mitchell, Stormont & Gage, 2011).		
	[B.2.4] Make Timely Assignments. Once	Low Priority High Priority 01 <b>23</b>	
	identified as qualifying for Tier 2 services, students	0 <b>23</b>	
	are placed in those services with little or no delay (e.g., within 1-2 weeks of initial referral) (Mitchell,		
	Stormont & Gage, 2011).		
	[B.2.5] Exit Students. At the start of any RTI-	Low Priority   High Priority	
	behavioral intervention, the school establishes	01 <b>23</b>	
	clear outcome goals/criteria for success to allow it	_	
	to exit students whose data indicate that they no		
	longer require Tier 2 support (Hawken, Vincent &		
	Schumann, 2008).		
	[B.2.6] Verify Quality of Implementation.	Low Priority   High Priority	
	'Intervention integrity' data are collected periodically	0 <b>23</b>	
	(e.g., via direct observation; interventionist self-		
	rating; and/or permanent products from the		
	intervention) to verify that the Tier 2/3 intervention		
	plan is carried out as designed (Gansle & Noell, 2007: Poach & Elliott, 2009), NOTE: Student		
	2007; Roach & Elliott, 2008). NOTE: Student attendance is a key aspect of intervention integrity		
	and should equal or exceed 80%.		
	and should equal of CACCEU 0070.		

[B.2.7] Track Student Progress. Every Tier 2	Low Priority   High Priority	
intervention plan has at least one source of data	0 <b>23</b>	
(e.g., Behavior Report Card; behavioral frequency		
count) to be used to track the student's targeted		
behavior(s) (Grosche & Volpe, 2013). Tier 3 plans		
have at least 2 data sources.		
Before beginning the intervention, the school		
establishes a desired outcome goal that defines the		
minimum level of acceptable improvement during		
the intervention timespan. During the intervention,		
data are collected periodically (e.g., daily; weekly) to assess progress toward the outcome goal.		
	<u> </u>	
Tier 3: Intensive: RTI/MTSS Problem-Solvi	ng Team. General-ed	lucation students
needing Tier 3 academic or behavioral servic		
resources and are at risk for referral to specia	1 0	
high-stakes cases require the RTI/MTSS Pro	•	which tollows a
customized, team-based 'problem-solving' ap		
RTI/MTSS Element	Rating: How Important?	Discussion Notes
□ [B.3.1] Establish a Tier 3 RTI/MTSS Problem-	Low Priority   High Priority	
Solving Team. The school has an 'RTI Problem-	0 <b>23</b>	
Solving Team' to create customized intervention		
plans for individual students who require Tier 3		
(intensive) social-emotional and/or behavioral interventions (Eber, Sugai, Smith & Scott. (2002).).		
The RTI/MTSS Problem-Solving Team:		
<ul> <li>has created clear guidelines for when to</li> </ul>		
accept a Tier 3 student referral.		
<ul> <li>identifies the function(s) that support problem</li> </ul>		
behaviors of any referred student to better		
select appropriate interventions.		
<ul> <li>follows a consistent, structured problem-</li> </ul>		
solving model during its meetings.		
<ul> <li>schedules (1) initial meetings to discuss</li> </ul>		
student concerns and (2) follow-up meetings to		
review student progress and judge whether the		
intervention plan is effective.		
<ul> <li>develops written intervention plans with</li> </ul>		
sufficient detail to ensure that the intervention		
is implemented with fidelity across settings and		
people.		
<ul> <li>builds an 'intervention bank' of research-based intervention intervention bank' of research-based</li> </ul>		
intervention ideas for common student		
academic and behavioral concerns.		
	Low Driority Lligh Driority	
<ul> <li>academic and behavioral concerns.</li> <li>[B.3.2] Enlist Staff Cooperation. The RTI/MTSS Team has the authority and scope to enlist the</li> </ul>	Low Priority   High Priority 01 <b>23</b>	



	participation in the Tier 3 intervention plan of any		
	educator who regularly interacts with the student.		
	[B.3.3] Access School-Wide Resources. The	Low Priority   High Priority	
	RTI/MTSS Team has inventoried and can access	0 <b>23</b>	
	available resources within the schoolincluding		
	Tier 1 and 2 intervention programs and services		
	to include in any comprehensive, customized		
	intervention plans that it creates. The Team also		
	ensures that all elements of its interventions plans		
	are 'evidence-based' i.e., supported by published		
	research (Hawken, Vincent & Schumann, 2008).		
	[B.3.4] Serve as Resource Gatekeeper. The	Low Priority   High Priority	
	RTI/MTSS Team serves as gatekeeper when	0 <b>23</b>	
	scarce social-emotional or behavioral resources		
	are to be added to a student's RTI-B intervention		
	plane.g., temporary assignment of a 1:1 Teaching		
	Assistant; placement in a multi-week series of		
	individual counseling sessions.		
	[B.3.5] Conduct FBAs/BIPs. The RTI/MTSS	Low Priority   High Priority	
	Team has the capacity to carry out Functional	0 <b>23</b>	
	Behavioral Assessments (FBAs) and to use the		
	resulting information to assemble Behavior		
	Intervention Plans (BIPs) for students with the most		
	intensive behavioral needs.		
	[B.3.6] Run 'Wrap-Around' Meetings. With parent	Low Priority   High Priority	
	agreement, the RTI/MTSS Team is prepared to	0 <b>23</b>	
1	invite to Problem-Solving Meetings staff from		
1	mental-health or other community agencies who		
	work with the student. These joint discussions		
	between school and community agencies are run		
	as 'wrap-around' meetings, with the goal of creating		
	a comprehensive intervention plan that coordinates		
	school, home, and perhaps community support.		
L		1	

**RTI/MTSS Behavior: School-Wide Screenings.** Schools use an array of building-wide data and screening tools proactively to identify students with behavioral or social/emotional problems. These students can then be placed on appropriate classroom (Tier 1), early-intervention (Tier 2), or intensive-intervention (Tier 3) support plans.

RTI/MTSS Element	Rating: How Important?	Discussion Notes
□ [B.4.1] Develop a System for Archival Data	Low Priority   High Priority	
Analysis. The school creates a process for	0 <b>23</b>	
analyzing building-wide archival data on		
attendance/tardiness and Office Disciplinary		
Referrals (ODRs) to identify students with		
significant concerns of behavior, social-emotional		
adjustment, and school engagement (Grosche &		
Volpe, 2013; McIntosh, Chard, Bolan, & Horner,		
2006). This system includes:		



•	periodic (e.g., every 5 weeks) compilation and review of school-wide attendance/tardiness and ODR data. the setting of cut-points for each data source that will determine which students are at-risk. creation of a matrix of routine RTI responses to match cut-points. This matrix directs the school to appropriate RTI interventions that correspond with the Tier 2 and Tier 3 cut-		
	points for tardiness, absences, and ODRs.		
-	I.2] Screen via Teacher Nomination. Up to 3	Low Priority   High Priority	
time	es per year, instructors use a 'multi-gating'	0 <b>23</b>	
stru	ctured process to identify students in their		
clas	srooms with significant behavioral or socio-		
	otional concerns (Grosche & Volpe, 2013).		

### RTI/MTSS-Behavior: District-Wide. The school district has adopted a process of planning and oversight to ensure that the RTI/MTSS-behavior model is implemented with fidelity and consistency across classrooms, grade levels, and schools.

RTI	/MTSS Element	Rating: How Important?	Discussion Notes
	[B.5.1] Write RTI/MTSS District Plan. The district		
	has created a multi-year RTI/MTSS-behavior		
	implementation plan to cover all schools.		
	[B.5.2] Establish District Leadership Team. The	Low Priority   High Priority	
	district has established an RTI/MTSS Leadership	0 <b>23</b>	
	Team composed of central office and building		
	representatives. This team meets periodically (e.g.,		
	every 4-8 weeks) to implement and update the		
	district RT/MTSS-Behavior Plan, to ensure		
	consistent implementation of RTI/MTSS across all		
	schools, and to address challenges as they arise.		
	[B.5.3] Adopt an SEL Curriculum. The district	Low Priority   High Priority	
	uses a classroom/school-wide curriculum to teach	0 <b>23</b>	
	and reinforce key Social-Emotional Learning (SEL)		
	skills.		
	[B.5.4] Develop 'Non-Responder' Decision	Low Priority   High Priority	
	Rules. The district has developed decision rules to	0 <b>23</b>	
	determine when a general-education student who		
	has received a series of RTI/MTSS-behavior		
	interventions is a 'non-responder' and requires		
	referral to the special education eligibility team		
	(CSE).		

#### References

Bergan, J. R. (1995). Evolution of a problem-solving model of consultation. Journal of Educational and Psychological Consultation, 6(2), 111-123.

9

Burnett, P. C. (2001). Elementary students' preferences for teacher praise. *Journal of Classroom Interaction, 36*(1), 16-23.

Conroy, M. A., & Sutherland, K. S. (2012). Effective teachers for students with emotional/behavioral disorders: Active ingredients leading to positive teacher and student outcomes. *Beyond Behavior, 22*(1), 7-13.

De Pry, R. L., & Sugai, G. (2002). The effect of active supervision and pre-correction on minor behavioral incidents in a sixth grade general education classroom. *Journal of Behavioral Education*, *11*(4), 255-267.

Eber, L., Sugai, G., Smith, C. R., & Scott, T. M. (2002). Wraparound and positive behavioral interventions and supports in the schools. Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders, 10(3), 171-180.

Fairbanks, S., Sugai, G., Guardino, S., & Lathrop, M. (2007). Response to intervention: Examining classroom behavior support in second grade. *Exceptional Children, 73*, 288-310.

Gansle, K. A., & Noell, G. H. (2007). The fundamental role of intervention implementation in assessing response to intervention. In S. R. Jimerson, M. K. Burns, & A. M. VanDerHeyden (Eds.), Response to intervention: The science and practice of assessment and intervention (pp. 244-251). New York: Springer Publishing..

Grosche, M., & Volpe, R. J. (2013). Response-to-intervention (RTI) as a model to facilitate inclusion for students with learning and behaviour problems. *European Journal of Special Needs Education*, *28*, 254-269.

Hawken, L. S., Vincent, C. G., & Schumann, J. (2008). Response to intervention for social behavior: Challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders. 16*, 213-225.

Leach, D., & Helf, S. (2016). Using a hierarchy of supportive consequences to address problem behaviors in the classroom. *Intervention in School and Clinic, 52*(1), 29-33.

Marzano, R. J., Marzano, J. S., & Pickering, D. J. (2003). *Classroom management that works: Research-based strategies for every teacher.* Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

McIntosh, K., Chard, D. J., Bolan, J. B., & Horner, R. H. (2006). Demonstration of combined efforts in school-wide academic and behavioral systems and incidence of reading and behavior challenges in early elementary grades. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, *8*(3), 146-154.

Mitchell, B. S., Stormont, M., & Gage, N. A. (2011). Tier two interventions implemented within the context of a tiered prevention network. *Behavioral Disorders*, *36* (4), 241-261.

Roach, A. T., & Elliott, S. N. (2008). Best practices in facilitating and evaluating intervention integrity. In A. Thomas & J. Grimes (Eds.), *Best practices in school psychology V* (pp.195-208).

Simonsen, B., Fairbanks, S., Briesch, A., Myers, D., & Sugai, G. (2008). Evidence-based practices in classroom management: Considerations for research to practice. *Education and Treatment of Children, 31*(3), 351-380.

Stormont, M., & Reinke, M. (2009). The importance of precorrective statements and behavior-specific praise and strategies to increase their use. *Beyond Behavior 18*(3), 26-32.

10

## RTI for Behavior at Tier 1: Establishing a Shared Building-Wide Framework for Positive Behavior in 5 Steps

Schools implementing RTI for behavior teach appropriate behavior explicitly at Tier 1—as its own curriculum. Educators typically refer to these guidelines for conduct as "behavioral expectations". They are derived from the school community's larger values and sum up the positive attributes we wish to see displayed by all of our students.

Schools that successfully introduce a positive behavioral approach across all classrooms and settings follow a 5-step process: (1) develop school-wide behavioral expectations; (2) from those expectations, draft specific rules for classrooms and other locations; (3) teach students these behavioral expectations and rules; (4) reinforce students for displaying positive behaviors; and (5) monitor staff buy-in and behavioral outcomes across the building. Those steps are explained below:

**Step 1: Develop School-Wide Behavioral Expectations.** To establish its "behavior curriculum", the school first develops a general set of universal behavioral expectations that apply in any setting. This set should be:

- brief enough to be easily remembered by both students and adults. Try to limit your list to between 4 and 8 individual expectations.
- broad in focus. Because these expectations must apply across numerous situations and settings, state them in general, student-centered terms (e.g., "I take pride in my work").
- phrased in positive terms whenever possible. In crafting your expectations, state what the student is expected to do (e.g., "I concentrate on doing my best work."), rather than actions that the student should *not* do.

In framing these behavioral expectations, then, your school is establishing the foundation for your behavioral curriculum. Here are additional tips for drafting your school-wide expectations for student conduct:

- Cover the "Big 4". Schools can write expectations to cover any kind of behavior. The good news, however, is that expectations can be narrowed to 4 manageable categories that apply to most if not all student behaviors: (1) SCHOOLWORK: self-management and engagement in schoolwork; (2) COMPLIANCE: complying with adult directives; (3) PEER INTERACTIONS: ability to get along with and work productively with peers; and (4) RULE-FOLLOWING: obeying school rules (Farmer, Reinke & Brooks, 2014).
- *Link Expectations in an Acronym.* Since our goal is for both students and adults to easily remember your list of school-wide behavioral expectations, consider turning them into a simple, easy-to-memorize acronym if possible. Here is an example:
  - S: I support my classmates. [PEER INTERACTIONS]
  - O: I obey school rules. [RULE-FOLLOWING]
  - A: I achieve to the best of my ability. [SCHOOLWORK]
  - R: I respect my teacher and other adults. [COMPLIANCE]

Step 2: Translate School-Wide Expectations into Site-Specific Rules. Students encounter constantly changing situations and settings throughout the school day. In these different settings, they will need site-specific rules to guide their conduct. So, in this step, the school (1) identifies the range of different settings in which students are expected to function, (2) determines the adult(s) responsible for managing behavior in each of these settings, and (3) enlists these supervising adults to translate building-wide expectations for behavior into more detailed site-specific rules. Here are details about how this process unfolds:

 Identify the Range of Site-Specific Settings. While each room or area of the school has its own unique properties, there are two main types of settings: classrooms and common areas. The school consults a building map and lists each space appearing on that map. The school then lists the identified spaces as 'classrooms' or 'common areas'. Classrooms are spaces for instruction overseen by one or more teachers. Common areas (e.g., hallways, cafeteria) serve non-academic functions for students across the school.

Occasionally, schools encounter a mixed-use space (e.g., a cafeteria that the science teacher uses in the afternoon for instruction). In such cases, the school categorizes the mixed-use space as a common area for specific times during the day and lists that same space as a classroom for other times during the day.

- 2. *Determine Who Manages Behavior in Each Setting.* The school next lists the adults responsible for behavior management in each of the classroom or common-area settings. In common areas where supervision changes in shifts, the school can list supervising personnel by shift. In common areas where supervision is fluid (e.g., hallways) the school may identify all staff as potential behavior managers.
- 3. Enlist Supervising Adults to Create Specific Rules. Once identified, those adults responsible for managing students in various settings will be asked to translate the general, building-level behavioral expectations into site-specific rules. For example, if a school-wide expectation is "I respect my teacher and other adults", a 5<sup>th</sup>-grade teacher or cafeteria lunch monitor will translate that statement into specific rules describing what "respect" looks like for their respective settings.

It is recommended that rule-sets for both classrooms and common areas be few in number (e.g., between 4 and 8); stated in simple, clear language; and framed whenever possible as desired behaviors rather than as behaviors to avoid.

To encourage consistency, teachers at each grade level should work together to come up with these classroom rules. Special-area teachers (e.g., physical education, art, music, etc.) will typically generate their own rules to accommodate their unique activities and materials. For common-area spaces such as the cafeteria, support staff and para-professionals who help to maintain order should be enlisted to assist in generating behavioral rules. In areas overseen by *all* adults (e.g., hallways), the school may want to elicit feedback from the entire staff to establish specific rules of conduct.

Step 3: Teach Expected Behaviors to Students. With school-wide behavioral expectations completed and rules written for each building location, the school is ready to introduce them to students. Schools are reminded that these rules for conduct make up a sizable and explicit behavioral curriculum. Because students must master behavior-rules for a variety of settings, the initial instruction of rules across the building should be coordinated and completed within a week.

As a kick-off to this behavioral training, the collection of school-wide behavioral expectations can be efficiently presented in a multi-grade assembly.

Site-specific rules will then be presented by the educators overseeing each building location. (Essentially, the educator creates and delivers a behavior-training lesson plan.) For each rule, the educator describes and demonstrates the rule and connects it to the relevant school-wide behavioral expectations. For example, a 3<sup>rd</sup>-grade teacher may present the class rule: "I raise my hand to be recognized before answering a question." The teacher then links that class rule to the school-wide behavioral expectation: "I respect my teachers and other adults."

www.interventioncentral.org

12

The educator next reviews examples of student behavior that conform to the site-specific rules, then asks students to give additional examples. The educator reviews these rules on a daily basis until students can clearly and easily identify them.

Both the school-wide and site-specific rules should be posted in every setting for easy reference. After the initial training, the educator reinforces the rule-set by frequently acknowledging and praising students who observe the rules, citing their positive behavior in specific terms.

**Step 4: Reinforce Positive Behaviors.** After students have been taught school-wide behavioral expectations and the related rules that apply to each school location, educators will want to regularly acknowledge and reinforce positive behaviors. While reinforcement of behaviors could be left to individual teachers, schools are likely to see greater behavioral improvement when they coordinate a consistent, building-wide reinforcement system consisting of praise, positive-behavior tickets, and rewards:

- *Praise.* At a minimum, staff should use frequent praise that describes the positive behavior observed and links it to the relevant rule. These praise statements function as a positive coaching tool, as they highlight exemplary student performance. Because students find praise reinforcing, its regular use will accelerate their adoption of positive behavior.
- *Tickets/Rewards.* While optional, schools can increase student investment in a positive-behavior program through use of a school-wide ticket/reward system. Here is a description of how your school might set up such a system:
  - 1. Create Positive-Behavior Tickets. The school designs paper slips ("tickets") to be awarded to students recognized for positive behaviors. The tickets can include blanks for the adult to write in the student name, time, location, and/or an account of the positive behavior that was observed.

SAMPSON SCHOOI	POWER TICKET!	
Student:		M
Location:	Date:	503
Comments:		ZMZ
Awarded by:		-

- 2. Train Staff to Use Behavior Tickets. Adults who supervise locations throughout the school receive a supply of tickets, which are to be awarded to students displaying examples of exemplary behavior. When awarding a ticket, the adult is trained to praise the student, explicitly name the positive behavior that earned the ticket, and link that behavior to the rule/behavioral expectation that it supports. Adults are also given a recommended quota of tickets to award within a class period or school day, to encourage their use.
- 3. Link Tickets to Reinforcement. Each school location supervised by specific educators will have a reinforcement system in place to allow positive-behavior tickets to be redeemed for rewards. Staff are encouraged to be creative, developing reward procedures that fit their circumstances.

For example, a classroom teacher may promise a desirable activity such as extra recess when the class earns a certain number of tickets. Each day, the teacher collects and tallies all tickets earned by students and adds that figure to a publicly displayed cumulative graph, so that students can monitor class progress toward the goal. Once the goal is earned, the teacher selects a new prize activity for the students to work toward.

Or a special-area instructor, such as the art or physical education teacher, may award tickets during their classes, deposit all earned tickets in a jar, and at the end of class draw one or more tickets randomly to award modest prizes or privileges.

Students awarded tickets by adults other than the teacher (e.g., in common areas such as hallways) can turn them in to the classroom instructor to be included in the class ticket total.

4. Leverage Reinforcement Across the School. The school can further increase the reinforcing power of positive-behavior tickets by adding building-wide incentives. For example, the school might set a goal for students across all classrooms to earn a certain number of positive-behavior tickets. That goal might be paired with an incentive, such as having a school pizza party or scheduling an entertaining assembly. Each day, classrooms would report their ticket totals to the main office, which would maintain the running tally of earned tickets. The school could strengthen the reinforcing power of behavior tickets by creating a colorful chart in a public area of the school to display the building's cumulative progress toward the ticket goal, announce that progress on morning or afternoon announcements, and honor several randomly chosen students each day for their positive behaviors.

Step 5: Monitor Program Implementation and Impact. The defining, teaching, and reinforcing of positive behaviors represents the rolling-out of a "behavioral curriculum". The final step is to evaluate its implementation and positive impact.

• *Staff Implementation.* Success of a building-wide behavioral program is reliant upon those adults who supervise locations across the school to actually carry out the procedures outlined above.

Staff implementation can be measured directly through onsite observations—for example, viewing cafeteria personnel's instructing a group of 5<sup>th</sup> graders in expected lunch-room behaviors. Staff participation in the program can also be monitored by collecting daily tallies from each supervised school location of the number of positive-behavior tickets awarded. If a location is identified as giving out substantially fewer tickets than expected, the educator(s) in charge of that space could be encouraged to increase participation or --if necessary—even be re-trained in reinforcement techniques.

Program Impact. A hoped-for outcome of a positive behavior program that impacts all classrooms is that student
academic performance will increase as behavioral interruptions to classroom instruction decrease. If RTI/MTSS
academic screenings or state academic assessments show improvement after implementing a behavior
program, this can be regarded as indirect evidence of its impact.

More directly, the school can monitor data on Office Disciplinary Referrals (ODRs) to judge whether the teaching and reinforcement of positive behaviors results in fewer students being removed from the classroom.

www.interventioncentral.org

*www.interventioncentral.org* 

14

**ACTIVITY: Step 1: Develop School-Wide Behavioral Expectations.** PART 1: Review the list of positive 'values'. Circle those that you feel are MOST important values for your school. PART 2: Draft a set of behavioral expectations to apply across all settings at your school. Consider creating an acronym to make them easier to remember.

SCHOOLWORK:	 	 	
COMPLIANCE:			
PEER INTERACTIONS:			

RULE-FOLLOWING: \_\_\_\_\_

Behavioral Expectations: "Values" Terms. Review the terms below for ideas in phrasing your set of school-wide behavioral expectations.						
Acceptance	Courage	Fortitude	Making a difference	Realism	Sympathy	
Accomplishment	Courtesy	Friendship	Mastery	Reason	Synergy	
Accountability	Creativity	Generosity	Maturity	Reflection	Teaching	
Accuracy	Credibility	Giving	Mindfulness	Reliability	Teamwork	
Achievement	Curiosity	Grace	Modesty	Resilience	Thankfulness	
Attentiveness	Dependability	Gratitude	Motivation	Resolution	Thoroughness	
Awareness	Determination	Growth	Obedience	Resourcefulness	Thoughtfulness	
Belonging	Devotion	Guidance	Openness	Respect	Tidiness	
Calmness	Dignity	Happiness	Optimism	Responsibility	Tranquility	
Camaraderie	Diligence	Harmony	Order	Restraint	Trustworthiness	
Candor	Direction	Health	Organization	Reverence	Understanding	
Capability	Discipline	Heart	Originality	Rigor	Uniqueness	
Care	Discovery	Helpfulness	Partnership	Sacrifice	Unity	
Carefulness	Diversity	Honesty	Patience	Sagacity	Utility	
Challenge	Drive	Imagination	Passion	Satisfaction	Valor	
Change	Duty	Independence	Peace	Self-control	Vigor	
Charity	Effectiveness	Individuality	Perceptiveness	Selflessness	Virtue	
Commitment	Efficiency	Industry	Perseverance	Self-reliance	Vision	
Community	Empathy	Inquisitiveness	Persistence	Self-respect	Volunteering	
Compassion	Encouragement	Insightfulness	Pleasantness	Sensitivity	Warmth	
Competence	Endurance	Inspiration	Practicality	Serenity	Watchfulness	
Composure	Enthusiasm	Integrity	Pragmatism	Service	Willingness	
Concentration	Excellence	Inventiveness	Precision	Sharing	Wisdom	
Confidence	Excitement	Involvement	Preparedness	Significance	Wonder	
Connection	Expertise	Joy	Presence	Silence	Zeal	
Consistency	Exploration	Judiciousness	Pride	Sincerity		
Contentment	Expressiveness	Justice	Proactivity	Skillfulness		
Continuity	Fairness	Kindness	Professionalism	Spirit		
Contribution	Fearlessness	Knowledge	Prudence	Stability		
Control	Flexibility	Leadership	Punctuality	Strength		
Cooperation	Fluency	Learning	Rationality	Success		
Cordiality	Focus	-	-	Support		

*www.interventioncentral.org* 

ACTIVITY: Step 2: Translate School-Wide Expectations into Site-Specific Rules. List the separate classroom and common-area locations in your school. For each entry, record location, supervising staff, and [optionally] the time(s) when the location is used. Remember to include hallways and special-area classrooms. See examples below:

Location	Supervising Staff	Time [Optional]	Location	Supervising Staff	Time [Optional]
Cafeteria	Principal, Assistant Principal, Psychologist, Lunch Monitors	11:00 am- 1:15 pm	Classrooms-Grade 5	Abel, Smith, Renard, LaBelle	

Location	Supervising Staff	Time [Optional]	Location	Supervising Staff	Time [Optional]

ACTIVITY: Step 2: Translate School-Wide Expectations into Site-Specific Rules. Take one or more of the school-wide behavioral expectations you created (Step 1) and translate them below into site-specific rules for a typical classroom in your school. School-Wide Expectation 1: Schoolwork: Classroom Rule 1: Classroom Rule 2: \_\_\_\_\_ Classroom Rule 3: \_\_\_\_\_ School-Wide Expectation 2: Compliance: Classroom Rule 1: \_\_\_\_\_ Classroom Rule 2: \_\_\_\_\_ Classroom Rule 3: School-Wide Expectation 3: Peer Interactions: Classroom Rule 1: \_\_\_\_\_ Classroom Rule 2: \_\_\_\_\_ Classroom Rule 3: \_\_\_\_\_ School-Wide Expectation 4: Rule-Following: \_\_\_\_\_ Classroom Rule 1: \_\_\_\_\_ Classroom Rule 2: \_\_\_\_\_ Classroom Rule 3:

**ACTIVITY: Step 4: Reinforce Positive Behaviors.** This step requires that school staff consistently recognize, acknowledge, and reinforce positive behaviors through praise, awarding of positive-behavior tickets, and delivery of earned rewards.

What are possible obstacles in your school to the timely, regular, and consistent reinforcement of positive behaviors? For each obstacle, what are solutions?

School-Wide Reinforcement of Positive Behaviors				
Obstacle	Solutions			

*www.interventioncentral.org* 

**ACTIVITY: Create an Action Plan.** Appoint a recorder. Use the organizer below to develop a 5-step action plan for the current school year to establish a shared framework for positive behavior at your school. For an explanation of what each step entails, review the guide *RTI for Behavior at Tier 1: Establishing a Shared Building-Wide Framework for Positive Behavior in 5 Steps.* 

RTI/MTSS for Behavior: Tier 1: Teaching Positive Behaviors Step 1: Develop School-Wide Behavioral Expectations.						
Task #	Task Description	Person(s) Responsible	Completion Date	Resources Needed		
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						

**%** www.interventioncentral.org

RTI/MTSS for Behavior: Tier 1: Teaching Positive Behaviors							
	2: Translate School-Wide Exp	pectations int		ecific Rules.			
Task #	Task Description	Person(s) Responsible	Completion Date	Resources Needed			
1		Responsible	Date	Needed			
_							
2							
2							
3							
J							
Λ							
4							
5							
J							
6							
7							
/							
8							
$\bigcirc$							
7							
10							
L		1	1	<u> </u>			

**%** www.interventioncentral.org

RTI/MTSS for Behavior: Tier 1: Teaching Positive Behaviors							
	Step 3: Teach Expected Behaviors to Students.Task #Task DescriptionPerson(s)CompletionResources						
		Responsible	Date	Needed			
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							

RTI/MTSS for Behavior: Tier 1: Teaching Positive Behaviors					
Step 4	: Reinforce Positive Behavio	ors.			
Task #	Task Description	Person(s) Responsible	Completion Date	Resources Needed	
1		Ксэронзынс	Date	Necded	
2					
2					
3					
Δ					
-					
Г					
5					
6					
U					
7					
8					
U					
$\bigcirc$					
7					
10					

RTI/MTSS for Behavior: Tier 1: Teaching Positive Behaviors							
Step 5	Step 5: Monitor Program Implementation and Impact.						
Task #	Task Description	Person(s) Responsible	Completion Date	Resources Needed			
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							

22

# RTI/MTSS for Behavior: District-Wide Planning Tool: 'Next Steps' Activity

**Directions:** Create a plan listing the key next steps that your school or district should take between now and the end of this school year to advance the RTI/MTSS model for behavior and social-emotional support. Be prepared to report out.

	Goal Number(s) from Planning Tool/ Description of Task	Person(s) Responsible	Proposed Completion Date	Additional Resources Needed
E x a m	[B.1.3] Teach Expected Behaviors to Students. The school trains students in expected behaviors—treating those	School Psychologist/ RTI/MTSS	March 2019	Half-Day during Supt Conf Day in February for staff;
p I e	behaviors as a formal curriculum to be taught.	Behavior Team		2-hr Principal Assemblies to train students
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				