1

## How To: Identify Critical Elements of Strong CORE Classroom Behavior Management

Students in classrooms are always engaged in behavior of some sort: listening to the teacher, completing independent work, talking to a friend, looking out the window. The constant unfolding of a student's behaviors can be thought of metaphorically as a 'behavior stream' (Schoenfeld & Farmer, 1970). The teacher's task is to channel this stream of students' behaviors toward productive academic engagement--resulting in both an improved behavioral climate and better school outcomes. In the well-managed classroom, the teacher dedicates as much time as possible to instruction, arranges instructional activities to fully engage the student learner, and uses proactive strategies to manage behaviors (Simonsen, Fairbanks, Briesch, Myers, & Sugai, 2008).

Below is a checklist containing six elements that are critical to strong core classroom behavior management. Teachers can use this checklist proactively to ensure that these elements are in place. School administrators and consultants will find that the checklist serves as a helpful framework when they provide guidance to instructors on how to strengthen classroom behavior management.

Checklist: Critical Elements of Strong Core Classroom Behavior Management		
Adequately	Behavior Element	Why this element matters
Documented? □ YES □ NO	<ul> <li>Components of Effective Instruction. The teacher's lesson and instructional activities include these components (Burns, VanDerHeyden, &amp; Boice, 2008):         <ul> <li>Instructional match. Students are placed in work that provides them with an appropriate level of challenge (not too easy and not too difficult).</li> <li>Explicit instruction. The teacher delivers instruction using modeling, demonstration, supervised student practice, etc.</li> <li>Active student engagement. There are sufficient opportunities during the lesson for students to be actively engaged and 'show what they know'.</li> <li>Timely performance feedback. Students receive feedback about their performance on independent seatwork, as well as whole-group and small-group activities.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	If components of effective instruction are missing from the classroom, it is difficult to identify whether a student's misbehavior is caused by academic or other factors.
□ YES □ NO	Explicit Teaching of Behavioral Expectations. Students have been explicitly taught classroom behavioral expectations. Those positive behaviors are acknowledged and reinforced on an ongoing basis (Fairbanks, Sugai, Guardino, & Lathrop, 2007).	Unless classroom behavioral expectations have been taught, there is uncertainty whether a particular student's misbehaviors occur by choice or because of lack of knowledge of expected behaviors.
□ YES □ NO	Students Trained in Basic Class Routines. The teacher has clearly established routines to deal with common classroom activities         (Fairbanks, Sugai, Guardino, & Lathrop, 2007; Marzano, Marzano, & Pickering, 2003; Sprick, Borgmeier, & Nolet, 2002). These routines include but are not limited to:         □       Engaging students in meaningful academic activities at the start of class (e.g., using bell-ringer activities)         □       Assigning and collecting homework and classwork         □       Independent seatwork and cooperative learning groups         □       Students leaving and reentering the classroom	Classroom routines prevent 'dead time' when students can get off-task and behavioral problems can arise. When routines are absent, it can be unclear whether student misbehavior is simply a manifestation of a lack of classroom order.



2

	Dismissing students at the end of the period	
□ YES	Positive Classroom Rules Posted. The classroom has a set of 3-8	Because classroom rules are a
🗖 NO	rules or behavioral expectations posted. When possible, those rules	clear and positive reminder to
	are stated in positive terms as 'goal' behaviors (e.g. 'Students	students of behavioral
	participate in learning activities without distracting others from	expectations, missing rules can
	learning'). The rules are frequently reviewed (Simonsen, Fairbanks,	be one explanation for student
	Briesch, Myers, & Sugai, 2008).	misbehavior.
□ YES	Effective Teacher Directives. The teacher delivers clear directives	Because teacher directives are
D NO	to students that (1) are delivered calmly, (2) are brief, (3) are stated	a prime means of managing
	when possible as DO statements rather than as DON'T statements,	classrooms, poorly delivered
	(4) use clear, simple language, and (5) are delivered one directive at	teacher directives can be an
	a time and appropriately paced to avoid confusing or overloading	explanation for student
	students (Kern & Clemens, 2007; Walker & Walker, 1991). These	misbehavior.
	directives are positive or neutral in tone, avoiding sarcasm or hostility	
☐ YES	and over-lengthy explanations that can distract or confuse students.	If the teacher lacks a range of
	Continuum of In-Class Consequences for Misbehavior. The teacher has developed a continuum of classroom-based	If the teacher lacks a range of options for classroom discipline
	consequences for misbehavior (e.g., redirect the student; have a	or fails to be flexible in methods
	brief private conference with the student; remove classroom	for managing misbehavior, this
	privileges; send the student to another classroom for a brief timeout)	lack of teacher capacity can
	that are used before the teacher considers administrative removal of	translate into more student
	the student from the classroom (Sprick, Borgmeier, & Nolet, 2002).	misbehaviors and a greater
	These strategies are used flexibly, matched to the behavioral	number of office referrals.
	situation and needs of the student (Marzano, Marzano, & Pickering,	
	2003).	

## References

Burns, M. K., VanDerHeyden, A. M., & Boice, C. H. (2008). Best practices in intensive academic interventions. In A. Thomas & J. Grimes (Eds.), Best practices in school psychology V (pp.1151-1162). Bethesda, MD: National Association of School Psychologists.

Fairbanks, S., Sugai, G., Guardino, S., & Lathrop, M. (2007). Response to intervention: Examining classroom behavior support in second grade. *Exceptional Children, 73*, 288-310.

Kern, L. & Clemens, N. H. (2007). Antecedent strategies to promote appropriate classroom behavior. *Psychology in the Schools, 44,* 65-75.

Marzano, R. J., Marzano, J. S., & Pickering, D. J. (2003). *Classroom management that works: Research-based strategies for every teacher.* Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Schoenfeld, W. N., & Farmer, J. (1970). Reinforcement schedules and the "behavior stream." In W. N. Schoenfeld (Ed.), *The theory of reinforcement schedules* (pp. 215–245). New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts.

Simonsen, B., Fairbanks, S., Briesch, A., Myers, D., & Sugai, G. (2008). Evidence-based practices in classroom management: Considerations for research to practice. *Evaluation and Treatment of Children*, *31*(3), 351-380.

Sprick, R. S., Borgmeier, C., & Nolet, V. (2002). Prevention and management of behavior problems in secondary schools. In M. A. Shinn, H. M. Walker & G. Stoner (Eds.), *Interventions for academic and behavior problems II: Preventive and remedial approaches* (pp.373-401). Bethesda, MD: National Association of School Psychologists.

Walker, H.M. & Walker, J.E. (1991). Coping with noncompliance in the classroom: A positive approach for teachers. Austin, TX:: Pro-Ed, Inc.